# DETERMINANTS OF PERINATAL MORTALITY IN RURAL AND URBAN VARANASI

S. Swain • A. Prakash • V. Bhargava • A. Agrawal • K. N. Ojha B. D. Bhatta

## SUMMARY

All the deliveries during the calendar year 1988 in the Labour Ward of the University Hospital, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi were analysed retrospectively in order to find out the perinatal mortality and the factors associated with it. The records pertaining to a total of 1274 deliveries of Cholapur PHC of Varanasi were also analysed to find out the determinants of perinatal mortality. The hospital based data revealed that there were 195 perinatal deaths (still-births 101 and early neonatal deaths 94) and the perinatal mortality rate was 95.3/1000 deliveries. On the contrary in the rural community out of 1274 deliveries there were 108 perinatal death (46 still-births and 62 early neonatal deaths) and the perinatal mortality rate was 85.6 per thousand deliveries. In the rural community, a prior bad obstetric history of a fetal or neonatal death, occurrence of preterm birth recorded a significant association with adverse outcome and induction of labour, prolonged labour over 12 hours duration, nature of delivery, fetal distress during labour, use of manual undue force during delivery, occurrence of postpartum hemorrhage, rupture of uterus and intrapartum selisis were factors associated with high perinatal mortality. On the contrary, eclampsia, prematurity, antepartum hemorrhage, severe birth asphyxia and congenital anomalies accounted for most of the perinatal deaths amongst the hospital deliveries. The socioeconomic, environmental, obstetric and neonatal determinants for such unacceptably high perinatal mortality are discussed.

The perinatal mortality is a sensitive indicator of maternal and child health care of a

Dept. of Obst. & Gyn. and Unit of Neonatology (Dept. of Pediatrics), I. M. S., Banaras, Varanasi. Accepted for Publication on 08.07.1993. particular community and hence is subject to much regional variability. The perinatal mortality rate of developing countries like India particularly in the rural community is unacceptably high when compared to developed nations. Uttar Pradesh records the highest perinatal mortality of our country. The hospital based data on perinatal mortality has the limitation of not being truly representative of the community at large because it often deals with highly selective high risk pregnant population for which they have been referred to the referral centre (Singh, 1986). Most of the community based studies have limitations of inadequate birth weight estimation and gestational age estimation (Kumar and Dutta, 1986).

The present study is an endeavour to audit and analyse both hospital based data as well prospective data from rural community with proposition that it will provide a better insight of the state of affairs in the maternal child health care of the entire population with particular reference to the determinants of perinatal mortality.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

The data pertaining to two-thousand fiftyone consecutive new borns delivered in the labour ward of University Hospital, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, over a period of one calendar year (Jan to Dec 1988) were analysed.

The records pertaining to a total of 1274 deliveries in nine randomly selected subcentres of Cholapur PHC in Varanasi district were also analysed to find out the determinants of perinatal mortality. The information regarding maternal age, parity, occupation, type of family socioeconomic status, antenatal registration, intrapartum care, previous obstetric history, medical and surgical complications in present pregnancy, mode of delivery indication for operative intervention, any intrapartum complications were noted. The birth weight, APGAR score at 1 and 5 minutes, need for neonatal nursery care, neonatal problems and mortalities were recorded in case of off springs. The results were analysed by simple statistical procedures and tests of significance like Chi Square and Students 't' test were applied wherever necessary.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data in Table I shows the perinatal outcome in urban as well as rural Varanasi. The hospital perinatal mortality (PMR) was higher than that observed in the rural community but the difference was not statistically significant. The overall perinatal mortality was 91.4/1000 births. This is unacceptably high when compared to the national average of 53/1000 births. The PMR reported from two rural centres are 85.1 and 108/1000 deliveries as reported by Mehta (1975) and Bhatia et al (1984) respectively. Much wider variation is noted in hospital based studies from different regions of India,

Table I

Perinatal outcome in urban and rural Varanasi

| Place          | Total<br>deliveries | Live birth | Still birth | Early neonatal deaths | Perinatal deaths No. (Rate 1000 births) |
|----------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------|---|
| BHU Hospital   | 2051                | 1850       | 101         | 94                    | 195 (95.0)                              |
| Rural Varanasi | 1274                | 1227       | 47          | 62                    | 109 (85.6)                              |
| Total          | 3325                | 3077       | 148         | 156                   | 304 (91.4)                              |

Table II

Determinants of perinatal mortality rate in rural Varanasi (Overall PMR 85.6/1000)

|      | and par regions, this principle in the land | Marine Residents       | PMR/1000 births        |
|------|---|------------------------|------------------------|
| BIO  | SOCIAL FACTORS :                            | (0)                    | the world (Single, 10) |
| 1.   | Education of mother                         | upto 5                 | 108.1                  |
|      | corrects and red system telleric            | 6+                     | 80.9                   |
| 2.   | Maternal age (yrs)                          | ≤ 35                   | 78.8                   |
|      |   | > 35                   | 103.6                  |
| 3.   | Parity                                      | < 4                    | 48.9                   |
|      | to but from your pulliviers to              | ≥ 4                    | 76.0                   |
| 4.   | Maternal weight (kg)                        | ≤ 40                   | 98.2                   |
|      | artie Library alles and I                   | > 40                   | 80.0                   |
| 5.   | Maternal height (cms)                       | < 140                  | 145.8                  |
|      |   | ≥ 140                  | 81.6                   |
| 5.   | Hb%   | < 8.0                  | 78.0                   |
|      |   | ≥ 8.0                  | 85.4                   |
| DD T | EVIOUS OBSTETRIC HISTORY                    |                        |                        |
| PKE  | EVIOUS OBSTETRIC HISTORY                    | · 1005                 |                        |
| 1.   | Previous history of stillbirth              | No                     | 79.4                   |
|      | 0.0   | Yes                    | 90.9                   |
| 2.   | Previous neonatal death                     | No                     | 21.0                   |
|      |   | Yes                    | 81.8                   |
| 3.   | Previous bad obstetric history              | No                     | 58.5                   |
|      | 3.00  | Yes                    | 82.8                   |
| LAE  | BOUR CHARACTERISTICS                        |                        |                        |
| 1.   | Time of labour                              | Coortonoous            | 40.1                   |
| 1.   | Type of labour                              | Spontaneous<br>Induced | 44.4                   |
| 2.   | Nature of delivery                          | Normal                 | 38.6                   |
| 600  | · ·   | Others                 | 375.0                  |
| 3.   | Undue force                                 | No                     | 39.5                   |
| ٥.   | Undue force                                 | Yes                    | 235.3                  |
| 4.   | Foetal distress                             | No                     | 36.2                   |
| -9.  | roctar distress                             | Yes                    | 750.0                  |
| 5.   | Duration of labour                          | < 12                   | 39.3                   |
| ٥.   | Duration of labour                          | ≥ 12                   | 205.1                  |
|      |   | 2 12                   | 203.1                  |
| NEC  | DNATAL CHARACTERISTICS                      |                        |                        |
| 1.   | Birth weight                                | < 2000                 | 44.4                   |
| - •  |   | 2000-2500              | 12.5                   |
|      |   | > 2500                 | 4.7                    |

ranging from 113.6 in Jaipur to 57.3/1000 births in Delhi and alarmingly high perinatal mortality of 60-120/1000 deliveries is certainly unacceptable when compared to 10-20 per 1000 in most of the developed nations of the world (Singh, 1986).

Perinatal outcome in a given population is determined by several biosocial, environmental, obstetric and neonatal factors. The data in Table II summarises biosocial, obstetric and neonatal factors of perinatal mortality in rural Varanasi. The maternal educational level, height, predelivery weight and hemoglobin level showed inverse relationship to perinatal mortality rate. Extremes of maternal age (> 35 years) were observed to be associated with adverse perinatal outcome. Similar pattern has been observed by

Table III

Place of delivery and perinatal mortality (only rural data)

|      | Still birth                | Early neonatal death  | PND<br>No. (Rate/1000)   |
|------|----------------------------|---|--|
| 54   | 1                          | 4   | 92.6   |
| 12   | 1                          | 0   | 83.3   |
| 709  | 25                         | 22  | 66.3   |
| 2    | 0                          | 1   | 500.0  |
| 2    | 0                          | 0   | 0.0  |
| 495  | 19                         | 35  | 109.0  |
| 1274 | 46                         | 62  | 84.8   |
|      | 12<br>709<br>2<br>2<br>495 | 54     1       12     1       709     25       2     0       2     0       495     19 | deliveries         death           54         1         4           12         1         0           709         25         22           2         0         1           2         0         0           495         19         35 |

Table IV

Yerson conducted delivery and perinatal mortality (only rural data)

| Person conducted delivery | No. of deliveries | Still birth | Early neonatal death | Perinatal death |
|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Doctor                    | 35                | 1           | 3                    | 11.43           |
| Trained Nurse             | 30                | 2 .         | 1                    | 100.0           |
| Trained dai               | 44                | 0           | 1                    | 22.7            |
| Untrained dai             | 27                | 0           | 0                    | 0.0             |
| Relatives                 | 602               | 21          | 10                   | 66.4            |
| Others                    | 536               | 22          | 38                   | 111.9           |
| Total                     | 1274              | 46          | 62                   | 84.8            |

numerous other reported studies (Mehta and Jayant 1983; Bhatia et al, 1984; Puri et al, 1981; Damodar et al, 1983; Mukopadhyay and Pradhan, 1981). A prior bad obstetric history or history of foetal or neonatal loss appeared to be associated with adverse perinatal outcome. Higher perinatal mortality was also associated with induced labour, instrumental deliveries, use of undue force during labour, prolonged labour (> 12 hrs) intrapartum foetal distress and in presence of intrapartum sepsis and a low birth weight babies. These findings are consistent with other reports (Bernard and Sastrawintata, 1985; Venkatesh, 1988).

The data in Table III and IV show the impact of person conducting delivery and the place of delivery on perinatal mortality in rural Varanasi. The highest PMR was observed when the delivery was conducted in the Dai's house or by the doctors. The possible explanation for this being most probably those were the high risk cases who could not be delivered at home by the

relatives or health workers and hence had to be attended by the doctors. In the field studies conducted in the South-East Asian region, lowbirth weight, anoxia, infection, feeding problems and congenital malformations were the leading causes of perinatal deaths (WHO, 1985).

Analysis of perinatal deaths by obstetric causes helps the obstetrician to assign the cardinal causes of the obstetric factor responsible 'for the train of events leading to the perinatal death'. Unlike an autopsy which determines how a baby died, this enquiry is useful to find out why it died (Baird and Thomson, 1969). Most of the perinatal deaths at our hospital occurred amongst unbooked cases (73.8%) and, as it is evident from Table V, foetal distress, eclampsia, APH and obstructed labour (with or without ruptured uterus) were the important determinants of perinatal mortality. These are no different from the FOGSI study of 10,285 perinatal deaths, the obstetric factors were prolonged

Table V

Primary causes for perinatal death at University Hospital, Varanasi in relation to obstetric factors

| Factors                                      | Still brith | Early neonatal deaths | Perinatal deaths<br>No. (Percentage) |
|--|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Eclampsia-Pre-eclampsia                      | 16          | 13                    | 29 (14.8)                            |
| Foetal distress                              | 15          | 28                    | 43 (22.0)                            |
| APH  | 16          | 06                    | 22 (11.2)                            |
| Malpresentations (Breech/Transvedrse lie)    | 10          | 08                    | 18 (9.2)                             |
| Obstructed labour/Rupture uterus             | 10          | 03                    | 13 (6.6)                             |
| Foetal congenital anomaly                    | 06          | 12                    | 18 (9.2)                             |
| Multiple pregnancy                           | 02          | 05                    | 07 (3.5)                             |
| Maternal jaundice                            | 01          | 01                    | 02 (1.0)                             |
| Miscellaneous                                | 08          | 14                    | 22 (11.2)                            |
| Not known (including macerated still births) | 17          | 94                    | 195 (1.7)                            |

and difficult laterar (2-15), and antennal distance (21, 216), APH (13, 236) and pengential run, for continue (5, 236) (blocker and insure), 12, 236

These to be species weldent that the parameter and better the parameter of the parameter of

dividual in tenna of recoving particles accounty. Therefore, there is an arguing much five particles/logist for conscience has an algorithm of the reclinical forms have as as to extend the evolution of particles are the evolution.

### ALCONOMY SERVICES WANTED

We not therefold to Tables Consell of Medical Repeats for the Separated modulence. for the study.

#### TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF THE PE

- Described and Transaction AMI, has been and a confidence

   The AMI Bullion File and Administration (Communication)

   The AMI Bullion File and AMI Bullion (Communication)

   The AMI Bullion (Communi
- Stangard RIP and Superinduction 2, In Country of Estangle R., Vighters B., (Riky) P. American Joseph Grands Co. In this artificiant, Springer Bushin, Visib March, No. 21, 42, Thomas, pp. 215, 1983.
- 1. Strada W. Straton B.D. Wanda P. Andry A.V. Welson
- Ramov V. and Markey W., Indian J. Pulitan J. D., 1993, 1996.
- A. Alekson M. Stad Francis R. P., Weeks Dyron-Ballie S. S. S. 1982.
- Restriction of the State of Posset States in Parties in States and Posset States and Poss
- A. Pesi RE, Versac IC, Classiflary II. Ballet II. aud Engineerin L. Solden J. Pathon. 20, 124, 1241.
- The State of the S
- 34. WHO State Sold Barboard South Papers See. L. 1910, New Sold.

The Washington S. Ladden Padient, 129, 407, 1967.

| steedbank do not be |  |  |
|---------------------|--|--|
|                     |  |  |
|                     |  |  |
|                     |  |  |
|                     |  |  |
|                     |  |  |
|                     |  |  |
|                     |  |  |
|                     |  |  |
|                     |  |  |